

**City of Bixby
City Council Meeting
Minutes
City Hall Municipal Building
116 W Needles, Bixby, OK 74008
September 12, 2016 6:00 P.M.**

The agenda for the regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council of the City of Bixby was posted on the bulletin board at City Hall, 116 West Needles Avenue, Bixby, Oklahoma on August 12, 2016 on or before 5:00 p.m.

Mayor Easton called the meeting to order at 6:08 p.m.

Yvonne Adams City Clerk called the roll and all members were present.

Members Present

**Guthrie
Decatur
Stewart
King
Easton**

Staff Present

**Patrick Boulden, City Attorney
Jared Cottle, City Manager
Ike Shirley, Police Chief
Charles Barnes, Finance Dir.
Bea Aamodt, Public Works Dir.
Marcae Hilton, City Planner
Jason Mohler, Dev. Service Dir.
Don Cash, Parks Director
Brian Wood, Fire Chief
Yvonne Adams, City Clerk**

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Invocation was given by City Clerk Yvonne Adams

Mayor Easton said Item #1 on the Consent Agenda is:

CITY CLERKS REPORT

Consider and approve:

- a) Minutes for the Regular City Council meeting dated 08/22/16.
- b) Minutes for the Special Work Session meeting dated 08/22/16.
- c) Minutes for the Special Work Session meeting dated 08/08/16.
- d) Purchase to Leadsonline, for investigative software in the amount of \$2,848. This is a sole source vendor.
- e) Replacement of baseball and softball dugout covers including labor to Benchmark Enterprises, LLC in the amount of \$5,952. Damage resulted from July 14th storm. Funded out of Parks Budget. Reimbursement from OMAG. Emergency purchase order due to season starting.
- f) Replacement of baseball and softball concession roofs to Roofscapes Exteriors in the amount of \$6,081.86. Damage resulted from July 14th storm. Funded out of

- Parks Budget. Reimbursement from OMAG. Emergency purchase order due to season starting.
- g) Purchase and purchase order to Harris for three new portable police radios and one mobile unit radio in the amount of \$11,959.98. To be funded through Capital Equipment.
 - h) Consulting expense to The SpyGlass Group, LLC, in the amount of \$11,614.44 related to telecommunications savings for the City.
 - i) Northern Safety and Industrial as a sole source vendor for Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) for Fire Department not to exceed \$25,000 to be purchased from Capital Improvement Funding.
 - j) Approval to surplus rodeo arena and pens.
 - k) Budget revision to the Capital Improvement Fund-Parks for \$4,960 from fund balance.
 - l) City of Bixby participation in the State of Oklahoma Purchasing Card Program administered by Bank of America.
 - m) Conditional Final Plat-*Quail Creek Villas (PUD 76)*, for a Single-family Residential Development, approximately 11.605 acres, the applicant is Justin Morgan of Tanner Consulting, the property is generally located west of Memorial one-quarter mile and south of 121st Street South one quarter to one-half mile, in Section 02, T17N, R13E.
 - n) Earth change permit for Addison Creek.

Mayor Easton asked if there are any questions or amendments to the consent agenda. Vice Mayor Guthrie asked to pull item "c" for certain corrections to be make on the minutes.

Mayor Easton asked for a motion on the consent agenda. Vice Mayor Guthrie made motion to approve with the corrections on item "c", seconded by Councilor Stewart. The vote was taken with the following results:

Carried 5-0

Yes: Guthrie, Stewart, Decatur, King, Easton.

No: None.

Mayor Easton said item #1 on the Regular Agenda is:

Consider and take action on the adoption of Ordinance Number 2196, enacting a new Title 3, "Business and License Regulations", Chapter 10, titled "Pawnbrokers".

Presented by: Ike Shirley/Patrick Boulden

City Attorney Patrick stated that this is an Ordinance that I prepared at the request of the police department and basically is a new Ordinance to begin the regulations of Pawnbrokers. Patrick stated that the Pawnbrokers are already regulated by the state but this would allow the City of Bixby to take advantage of the technology that is out there and I would defer to Chief Shirley on actually what that is. Patrick stated that this Ordinance would provide regulation that would help the Police Department do their job.

Police Chief Ike Shirley stated that the item on the consent agenda Leadsonline it would give us the opportunity to look on line because the Pawnbrokers put information on Leadsonline which gives us real time and right now if someone goes into a Pawn Shop and sells an item they have 10 days to report it to us and if it is pawned it's 30 days and once that information goes through they send the information to us and we have our dispatchers has to enter it twice in the CAD system and they have to check to see if it is stolen. Ike stated that when we get it we may have 60 or 75 days involved with this item, at the present time using Leadsonline and requiring the Pawnbroker to utilize this technology and with it being on real time for stolen or burglarized items we can make a recovery and get it back to the rightful owners.

City Attorney Patrick Boulden stated that this Ordinance would be in affect November 1st.

Mayor Easton asked for a motion on Item #1. Councilor Stewart made motion to approve, seconded by Mayor Easton. The vote was taken with the following results:

Carried 5-0

Yes: Stewart, Easton, Decatur, King, Guthrie.

No: None

Emergency Clause

Mayor Easton asked for a motion for the Emergency Clause. Councilor Stewart made motion to approve the Emergency Clause, seconded by Mayor Easton. The vote was taken with the following results:

Carried 5-0

Yes: Stewart, Easton, Decatur, King, Guthrie

No: None

Mayor Easton said on Item #2 on the Regular Agenda is:

Discuss, consider and approve an Interlocal Law Enforcement Agreement with the Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County, on behalf of the Tulsa County Sheriff's Office, deputizing Bixby Police Officers to provide law enforcement and school resource officer services at Bixby school district facilities located outside the Bixby city limits, in Tulsa County, including the Northeast Elementary and Intermediate School at 11901 East 131st Street South.

Presented by: Ike Shirley/Patrick Boulden

Chief Ike Shirley stated that this will allow our officers more jurisdiction at the 131st schools which is built outside the city limits of Bixby and is in the County at this time and this last year we had an agreement with Sheriff Lantz and this is basically re-doing what we had before which this help with the jurisdiction our school resource officers need at those schools.

Mayor Easton asked for a motion on Item #2. Councilor Stewart made motion to approve, seconded by Mayor Easton. The vote was taken with the following results:

Carried 5-0

Yes: Stewart, Easton, Decatur, King, Guthrie.

No: None

Mayor Easton said on Item #3 on the Regular Agenda is:

Discussion and possible action to approve the PRELIMINARY PLAT-*Willow Creek Estates, Blocks 6-11 (PUD 78)*-Applicant, Ryan McCarty of Select Design, for approximately 60.81 acres for the purpose of a residential development in Section 12, T17N, R13E, the property is generally located: west of Mingo Road and south of 131st Street.

Presented by: Marcae Hilton

Others that spoke: Brian Dwell 12150 E. 96th St. N.

City Planner Marcae Hilton stated that this is the Preliminary Plat for Willow Creek Estates and this is Phase II of an existing development and it is Block 6-11 and originally part of PUD 78. Marcae stated that it was heard at the Regular Planning Commission Meeting held on August 15, 2016 and the Planning Commission did vote unanimously to approve the preliminary plat with conditions. Marcae explained that the plat had 60.81 acres, there are 195 Lots within six blocks with three reserves, the property is currently zoned RS-3 (Residential Single-Family District). Marcae explained that TAC (Technical Advisor Committee) heard this item on August 3, 2016 and Staff, TAC and the Fire Marshall are in agreement with the approval of this plat.

Vice Mayor Guthrie asked if there are any comments from the Planning Commission, Marcae stated not on this one.

Councilor Stewart asked what kind of homes are going in there, Marcae stated they will be what is similar to the existing ones, I can refer you to the developer if you would like.

Brian Dwell stated to answer your question they will be the same as the first phase and we are moving along well, Councilor Stewart asked if they are selling well, Brian stated yes much better than we expected.

Mayor Easton asked for a motion on Item #3. Councilor Stewart made motion to approve, seconded by Councilor Decatur. The vote was taken with the following results:

Carried 5-0

Yes: Stewart, Decatur, King, Guthrie, Easton.

No: None

Mayor Easton said on Item #4 on the Regular Agenda is:

Discussion and Action on the approval of Rezoning Ordinance No. 2197, *BXZO-16.05 COTTAGES AT QUAIL CREEK* in conjunction with a Planned Unit Development *BXPUD-16.03 COTTAGES AT QUAIL CREEK*, from AG (Agricultural District) to RS-3 (Residential Single Family District, the applicant, is Tanner Consulting; the property is generally located west of Memorial one-quarter mile and north of 131st Street South one-half mile, in part of Section 2, T17N, R13E, Action includes separate approval of an Emergency Clause.

Presented by: Marcae Hilton

Others that spoke: Rickey Jones Tanner Consultant 5323 S. Lewis Ave, Tulsa Ok. Jay Mauldin 7341 E. 119 Pl.

City Planner Marcae Hilton stated that this will include Ordinance No. 2197 if approved, Marcae stated that this project was brought to us by Tanner Consulting and it is a small development adjacent to an existing development and the subject property is the next phase of Quail Creek of Bixby and Quail Creek Villas of Bixby so they called it Cottages at Quail Creek. Marcae stated that it is a single-family development and has 40 lots and will provide similar high end homes with amenities and direct access to the increasingly popular trails along Fry Ditch Creek. Marcae stated that there is one issue and they will have a shared amenity they will put in a pool and clubhouse and as a result they are going to take part of the reserve and park of the lot of an existing plat once this is approved they will go back and do an amendment to the previous PUD to allow that to not be used as a home but to be used as a shared amenity. Marcae stated that during the Planning Commission meeting there was discussion of the size and location of all the lots and it was suggested by one of the Planning Commission members to be a gated community and that is not something that is really an issue in regards to land use or zoning and that is a personal choice usually decided by the developer so with that comment and for reasons in the Planning Commission Staff Report staff believes the surrounding zoning, land use and physical considerations of the area weigh in favor of all the requests and recommend approval of both the zoning and PUD. Marcae stated that the Planning Commission unanimously approved the zoning for the lots and they had on the recommendation (2) Stipulation Request of PUD, Motion to approve PUD-BXPUD-16.03 Cottages at Quail Creek as recommended by staff with the stipulation, it is a gated PUD as opposed to an open development (due to smaller lot size). Marcae stated that Staff recommends approval of the zoning and the PUD with no stipulation, and I would defer any further comment to the developer or their representative and I believe Tanner Consulting is here to answer any other comment you may have.

Mayor Easton asked that on the south side where they plan to put the clubhouse or pool is that going to be the second entrance, Marcae stated yes and it is not gated and Marcae stated that was a big issue with a long process with us meeting multiple times with the developer and their consultant making sure they meet the emergency service recommendations and that they have the two entrances because of that it's a small parcel and it's a little bit unique and how close the two entrances are but the Fire Marshal and staff is comfortable with the decision they came with.

Vice Mayor Guthrie stated that so the Planning Commission pass it with the stipulation with gate or without the gate, Marcae stated that they approved it zoning and they approved the PUD and wanting council to have a discussion on whether or not it should be gated but I will state again that this is not normally a land use issue or zoning and it's not something that staff would recommend or entertain.

Councilor Stewart asked why was it even brought up, Marcae stated that I think the primary concern was the sizes of the homes and trying to protect the investments of the home into the community, and it is some options that a gated community provides a better protection as far as quality of development.

Ricky Jones Tanner Consulting stated that he has with him Justin Morgan Engineer if you have any engineer question but since this is a re-zoning and PUD there shouldn't be any engineering question. Ricky stated that the target amount should be above around the \$300,000 dollar price range and the reason we came up this type of housing for this piece of property that it is unique and it is abutted right next to an apartment complex and there is our development single-resident on the other side and the overall development is so large we are trying to provide a variety of price points of living styles throughout the whole subdivision so this is another unique feature and it's just a piece of how we are going to market the whole subdivision. Ricky explained they are 55ft minimum home size lots and they are a little bit smaller but we still maintain a minimum 1800 square ft. and those are minimums and proposing 75% masonry and that's in the PUD also Planning Commission was comfortable with that and to speak on the access point we did go in providing a secondary access point through the apartments complex back at the south into the property and in discussions with the Fire Marshal they thought this locations coming out of it now would be a better location for them and if this is approve go back and modify and take that lot that hasn't been sold yet out and do an amendment to the PUD. Councilor Stewart asked Ricky if you wanted a gate, Ricky stated no and we never anticipated a gate, this is something that came up at the Planning Commission and I agree with Marcae because it is not a land use issue and our recommendation is that it will be a public street and be open to the public. Councilor Stewart asked what was there reasoning for the gate, I agree with Marcae and that is to maintain the value of the property and since these are over \$300,000 dollar houses I don't think they have to worry about that and if this was an entry level development I could see their issues with a gate and because these are expensive homes I don't see the need there to try and maintain that. Councilor Stewart stated that what I am hearing from you the Planning Commission approved it and they want a gate there, Ricky stated that is correct. Councilor Stewart stated that they kicked it to us to make a decision about this, Ricky stated that would be how I interpreted it, yes Ricky stated that they approved the rezoning and PUD and the issue was involved. Ricky stated that this is something that we don't want and we told them that at the Planning Commission so we wanted to go forward. Councilor Stewart asked what was the approval by the Planning Commission with the gate, Ricky stated that he believes it was unanimous. Vice Mayor Guthrie stated that what he was trying to clarify earlier did they pass it with the stipulation with the gate or did they pass it without the stipulation of the gate, Marcae stated she has the meeting minutes to read which states motion to approve Cottages at Quail Creek as recommended by staff with the stipulations it is a gated PUD as opposed to an open development (due to smaller lot size), Marcae stated that it was primarily three members present and two absent with one really that struggled letting the gate go. Marcae stated that who was present (Sutton, Holland, and Whisman), Marcae continued to explain that it would be very difficult to gate it because it is a shared amenities to two subdivisions so if you put a gate there you are alienating the smaller subdivision or the larger subdivision.

Vice Mayor Guthrie stated that he does not necessarily like this situation with the gate coming to council to begin with, that's my problem, I wish it would have went through Planning Commission as it came to council not putting the stipulation in and that the developer didn't agree with them and letting council deal with it when it get to council, that's what I don't agree with. Vice Mayor Guthrie stated that he would like to see it worked out in the Planning Commission meeting and here is the project that council could either approved it or denied it the same project we are looking at, and that what I would like to see and seems like this has happened a few times. Marcae stated

that she will relay the message to the Planning Commission, Vice Mayor Guthrie stated that this is just a gate issue and I would hate to see it continue in the future. Councilor Stewart stated that this put us in a bad spot.

Jay Mauldin 7341 E. 119 Pl stated that I was at the Planning Commission meeting and I might be able to provide Councilor Stewart and council with some clarification, Jay stated that he wanted to commend the City Planner and staff for seeking to do this through RS rather than CG zoning, initially the applicate was seeking CG or RS and I concur with the City Planner and City Staff on RS if they is what you are wanting to do that's the appropriate way to approach this. Jay stated that the Planning Commission recommend the gate access it was former Chair Tom Holland's idea and he was concerned about several things and chairman Whisman was as well and one of the concerns was the 35 ft. the provision to go up to 5 ft. in excess of a 35 ft. height requirement and my recollection for that and my explanation had to do with chimneys, and it was a concern at the Planning Commission why do we have to excess 35 ft. 35 ft. is 35 ft. Jay stated that former Chairman Holland was very concerned about the 55 ft. lot widths he pointed out in the past when we approved something that said 55 ft. lot we have issues with and I will say that it comes along with that is issues of a negative sort. Jay stated that Chairman Whisman pointed out when we have 5 ft. side yards and you put a fence between them and you put a AC unit on a concrete slab house you barely had enough room to get a weed eater though there and his point was that it is not a lot of space between homes and so my sense and of course this is my interpretation from being at the meeting and former Chairman Holland and Chairman Whisman did not want to hold this up but they had real issues with the items I just mentioned and so I believe that the issue of a gate was brought up to try and bring this to your attention about the 55 lot width. Jay stated that I know it's a lot easier to come here and be in a state where you can say yes or no but it's not at least in my experience over the years it's not been uncommon for measures to come before you with suggestion by the Planning Commission and this is another one of them. Jay stated that the Planning Commission can speak their motivations better than I can but being an observer in the room they did not like the 55 ft. lot width and they didn't want to hold up the project, so I think that's why you got the gated access been thrown into the picture. Jay stated I will get to the third and final thing I wanted to discuss with you briefly we have had a lot of discussions on and off for the last seven years about these lands and apartments, single family residential and if you approve this it's going to allow single family residents and apartments to abut each other and a couple of you know that I don't think that these single family and apartments I think they should be about a quarter mile of each other let along smack by each other like they are going to in this case.

Vice Mayor Guthrie stated that he would like to interrupt you on that one, there is no lot's built on them so if anyone decided or wants to buy or build a house on the lots they knows there is an apartment complex there, it a completely different situations if you bring an apartment complex into an existing neighborhood, there is no homes there and they would have to make a decision to live there.

Jay stated that I am not opposing this, normally I would tell you if the apartments came to the single family or apartments coming to the single family, I don't think it's a good idea having them to abut each other it's not a good idea, period, and in this case the only place in town I can think of this is a place I can make an exceptions because these lands will be developed as apartments, single family or mini storage and if I have to pick between those three I will roll with single family

residential every time because that what we need more of. Jay stated that I think in this case it's ok to approve this to let this go forward and to have single family and apartments to abut each other and the aerial pictures of the apartments you will notice there are very few structures that actually abut the property line its mostly open space and it looks like space dedicated for recreational area so we are going to have apartment buildings abutting people's backyards and that's a good thing, just for future reference and I didn't want it to be said that Jay when we wanted to do this back in 2016 you didn't come down here and have a problem with it so I am here tonight normally saying your nuts, your insane and I would probably as for your resignations and I think as I have said recently single family residential property owners are an endangers species and that who I am looking out for and that's my role in the community, and to my friend and councilor Guthrie people are going to know that when they purchase a home and they are going to be next to an apartment complex and I don't want this to become a precedent, but normally I would tell you that your nuts to do this but in this case it think more apartments or homes or mini storages so I will go with single family residential with Mr. Dotson doing this I am very comfortable with it.

Mayor Easton stated that Jay you're going to have either small lots or with \$300,000 dollar homes next to the apartments or you're going to have the rest of the subdivisions single homes next to it so there is going to be residents next to this apartment complex either way or mini storage. Jay stated that whatever you decided to do about a gated community that it up to you, there was decision from the City Attorney and City Planner had some comments about gated community there sense of community and how they fit into the community, and I would point out to you just about everything else in this community is gated and he gave council some examples in the City of Bixby of certain communities' with gates and I don't think the Planning Commission was out of line about the gate issue.

Councilor Stewart asked Ricky how is Mr. Holland's view of the lot size, I don't know if I can answer that, Marcae stated that she had the minutes to read from and it stated that basically it was that Justin Morgan was the representative from Tanner Consulting and Mr. Holland said we have problems every time we approve this and he did refer to the 5 ft. on one side and issues and there was discussion about mowing, etc. and that again is something that the homeowner is aware of when they purchase the home so I don't think there is a big surprise there. Maraca stated that they talked about HOA dues and I can't excitedly remember who asked that question at what it would cost to gate the community and they asked Justin representing the developer what they thought the HOA dues would be, councilor Stewart did ask if he did have a problem with the lot size, Marcae stated yes. Councilor Stewart stated that he is speaking for himself and not for the other councilors and I agree we shouldn't put a gate there and my question is about the lot sizes when I look at this on the east side are some small lots and bigger lots on the west side is there a way to open up the west side lots a little bit, Ricky stated that the reason those lots are larger are because is a minimum 1800 sq. ft. and by the time we push that house back we are going to need every bit of that so I don't think we could reconfigure that. Marcae read a statement from Mr. Holland which stated that the PUD that we have addressed for smaller lots are lesser income type homes or the people that joined them like them or don't like them because they have created problems for their home value in a gated community they usually maintain a high value and it is a restricted area and the people buy there like the security and they don't want to mow yards and you are attracting a different clientele and when you do that the price of the property maintains well.

Ricky stated that looking at the minutes and talking to Marcae and the rest of the staff is that the problem they had with the 55 ft. lot was a function of a type of home and entry level starter homes therefore are not as maintained as well a \$300,000 home so that's why he was thinking gated and that's my interpretation of the minutes and talking to staff and if this was an entry level subdivision I can see his concern. Councilor Stewart asked Ricky if he would be open to making some of the lots on the west side bigger, Ricky stated that at this time I would not be open to that we are far down the road with engineering and platting with the developer not here I think we need all forty lots, I am sorry. Marcae stated that one final thought that Justin made is these homes will be built by the developer Mr. Dotson and he will not be passing them off to someone else and he already has the other lots in the other subdivision so it's in his best interest to make a successfully cottage.

City Attorney Patrick Boulden stated that the suggested motion be to approve as staff has recommended. Mayor Easton stated that the small side yards are irrelevant issue but like Vice Mayor Guthrie stated that let the open market figure out if they want to live there.

Mayor Easton asked for a motion on Item #4. Mayor Easton made motion to approve as recommended by staff, seconded by Vice Mayor Guthrie. The vote was taken with the following results:

Carried 5-0

Yes: Easton, Guthrie, Decatur, Stewart, King.

No: None

Mayor Easton asked for a motion on the Emergency Clause. Councilor Stewart made motion to approve, seconded by Mayor Easton. The vote was taken with the following results:

Emergency Clause

Carried 5-0

Yes: Stewart, Easton, Decatur, King, Guthrie.

No: No

Mayor Easton said on Item #5 on the Regular Agenda is:

Discuss and/or approve lowest, most responsible bidder to manage debris from the July 14th, 2016 wind storm.

Prepared by: Bea Aamodt

Public Works Director Bea Aamodt stated that after the July 14th wind storm the city collected a large amount of debris at the 131st site and to complete the process as required by DEQ we must burn the debris and remove the ashes and I have asked contractors to submit a quote to do such work and we received 3 quotes as follows R. L. Hensley Construction in the amount of \$21,200, Ken Laster Company in the amount of \$38,500, and Barnes Construction Solutions in the amount of \$52,000. R L. Hensley is the most responsible bidder and I recommend approval.

Vice Mayor Guthrie stated what are they going to do, Bea stated they are going to burn the debris and removing the ashes from the site and that's because the site is in the flood plain and that's what DEQ requires.

Mayor Easton asked for a motion on Item #5. Councilor King made the motion to approve, seconded by Mayor Easton. The vote was taken with the following request:

Carried 5-0

Yes: King, Easton, Decatur, Stewart, Guthrie.

No: None

Mayor Easton said on Item #6 on the Regular Agenda is:

Discuss and/or take action on City website development consultant selection.

Prepared by: Jared Cottle

City Manager Jared Cottle stated that Brian Bowman our IT consultant is here for any questions that you may have and he took us through an evaluation process with a number of different vendors will possible be building our website and in your council packet it has a decision matrix with scores for varies providers and we are bringing one of those providers to you and recommendations for approval. Jared stated that the provider is Civic Plus which was the highest scoring and they were also one of the price competitive and we feel they were the best bid that we received score wise. Brian is here to answer any question that you might have and we are recommending and award a brand new city website and awarding that contact to Civic Plus in the amount of \$52,469.

Vice Mayor Guthrie asked the time frame, Jared stated 24 weeks. Mayor Easton stated what happens if we don't get this up in 24 weeks is there any kind of penalty, Brian stated that yes they will be penalized and it is in the contract.

Mayor Easton asked for a motion on Item #7. Councilor King made the motion to approve pending city attorney approval, seconded by Mayor Easton. The vote was taken with the following results:

Carried 5-0

Yes: King, Easton, Decatur, Stewart, Guthrie.

No: None

Mayor Easton said on Item #7 on the Regular Agenda is:

Discuss and/or take action on retail recruitment services contract with Retail Attractions.

Prepared by: Jared Cottle

City Manager Jared Cottle stated that following up with our economic development strategy that we have and have been working on this since last August talking shape and with our downtown projects, river projects and other efforts that we are going to be undertaking to have events in the venues and we see about three parts in our economic development strategy at this point. Jared stated that right now we are looking at retail attractions and recruitment efforts primarily for sales

tax purposes also helps us on the revenue side which is the life blood of our city. Jared stated that bring in a local recruiting with local experience that has a lot of relationship with a lot of folks doing work in this area and for next year have a contract with a base plus incentives would be the best way to get some folks on board.

Mayor Easton had some question regarding some parts of the contract that he need some clarifications on, Jared explained the concerns that the Mayor was concerned about.

Discussion continued on the item.

Mayor Easton asked for a motion on Item #7. Mayor Easton made motion to approve of authorization by the City Manager and City Attorney on certain provisions of part 4 and part 7, seconded by Councilor Stewart. The vote was taken with the following results:

Carried 5-0

Yes: Easton, Stewart, Decatur, King, Guthrie

No: None

Mayor Easton said on Item #8 on the Regular Agenda is:

City Managers report

1. Homecoming coming next week and the parade on September 22.

Mayor Easton said on Item #9 on the Regular Agenda is:

New Business

There being none.

Adjournment was called at 7:17 p.m.

MAYOR

ATTEST

CITY CLERK

BIXBY PUBLIC WORKS AUTHORITY MEETING
Board of Trustees
Municipal Building
Minutes
116 W. Needles, Bixby, OK 74008
September 12, 2016 6:00 P.M.

The agenda for the regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council of the City of Bixby was posted on the bulletin board at City Hall, 116 West Needles Avenue, Bixby, Oklahoma on September 9 on or before 5:00 p.m.

Mayor Easton called the Bixby Public Works Authority Meeting to order at 7:17 p.m. all members.

Members Present

Guthrie
Decatur
Stewart
King
Easton

Staff Present

Jared Cottle, City Manager
Patrick Boulden, City Attorney
Ike Shirley, Police Chief
Charles Barnes, Finance Dir.
Bea Aamodt, Public Works Dir.
Marcae Hilton, City Planner
Jason Mohler, Dev. Service Dir.
Don Cash, Parks Director
Yvonne Adams, City Clerk

Mayor Easton said Item #1 on the BPWA Consent Agenda is:
CITY CLERKS REPORT

Consider and approve:

- a) Minutes for Bixby Public Works Authority regular meeting of 08/22/16.
- b) Discuss and/or approve \$4,200 to Industrial Motors to repair pump at White Hawk LS.

Mayor Easton asked if there were any amendments or corrections to the consent agenda. Mayor Easton asked for a motion on the consent agenda. Councilor Stewart made motion to approve, seconded by Councilor King. The vote was taken with the following results.

Carried 5-0

Yes: Stewart, King, Decatur, Guthrie.

No: None

Mayor Easton said on Item #1 on the Regular BPWA agenda is:
New Business

There being no business.

Adjournment was called at 7:19 p.m.

MAYOR

ATTEST

CITY CLERK